

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,108	10/31/2003	Michael Altenhofen	13909-055001 / 2000E00019	8924	
38864 7590 09/16/2010 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022			EXAMINER		
			JACKSON, JENISE E		
MINNEAPOL	JS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2439		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/16/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/698,108	ALTENHOFEN, M	MICHAEL
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JENISE E. JACKSON	2439	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
(1) <u>JENISE E. JACKSON</u> .	(3)		
(2) Ryan McCarthy.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 August 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 36, 42 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
See Communion Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summany</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since t	e substance
(Δ	nnlicant/Annlicant's Representat	ive Signature _ if a	nnronriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/698,108

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Attorney of record, Ryan McCarthy, because claim 36 was directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Applicant agreed to an Examiner Amendment to include non-transitory. Claim 42 claimed a method, and was dependent from claim 36 which claims a computer program product. However, due to the new art rejection the application is not yet in condition for allowance and thus a 101 rejection on claims 36-42 is included in the office action.